


United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water 4305

EPA-823-R-98-003 May 1998

Bacterial Water Quality Standards Status Report



BACTERIAL WATER QUALITY STANDARDS FOR RECREATIONAL WATERS

(FRESHWATER AND MARINE WATERS)

STATUS REPORT

Prepared by:

Standards and Applied Science Division Office of Science and Technology Office of Water U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460

Disclaimer

This publication was prepared by Tetra Tech, Inc. under contract to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Secondary information sources were used to compile data presented in this document. In no event shall either the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency or Tetra Tech, Inc. have any responsibility or liability for any use, misuse, or reliance upon the information contained herein, nor does either warrant or otherwise represent in any way the accuracy, adequacy, efficacy, or applicability of the contents hereof.

The reader should consult the water quality standards of a particular state for exact regulatory language applicable to that state. Copies of state water quality standards may be obtained from the state's Water Pollution Control Agency or its equivalent.

Additional information may also be obtained from:

Water Quality Standards Branch Standards and Applied Science Division (4305) Office of Water U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460

Contents

1.	Introduction 1
2.	Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Summary Information Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States and Territories Within EPA Regions
	Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for Tribes Within EPA Regions
3.	Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Detailed Overview Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region
	EPA Region 1 11 Connecticut 11 Maine 11 Massachusetts 11 New Hampshire 12 Rhode Island 12 Vermont 13
	EPA Region 2 13 New Jersey 13 New York 14 Puerto Rico 16 Virgin Islands 16
	EPA Region 3 17 Delaware 17 District of Columbia 17 Maryland 17 Pennsylvania 17 Virginia 18 West Virginia 18
	EPA Region 4 18 Alabama 19 Florida 19 Georgia 19 Kentucky 19 Mississippi 19 North Carolina 20 South Carolina 20 Tennessee 20

EPA R		
	Illinois	
	Indiana 2	
	Michigan 2	1
	Minnesota 2	2
	Ohio 2	2
	Wisconsin 2	2
EPA R	egion 6	2
	Arkansas	
	Louisiana	၁ ၁
	New Mexico	2
	Oklahoma	ر. اد
	Texas 2	4
EPA R	egion 7	
	Iowa 2	4
	Kansas	4
	Missouri 2	4
	Nebraska 2	5
EPA R	egion 8	
	Colorado	.5
	Montana 2	,5
	North Dakota 2	,5
	South Dakota 2	.5
	Utah	6
	Wyoming 2	.6
EPA R	agian 0	
EFAI	American Samoa	6
	Arizona	6
	California	7
	Hawaii	8
	Guam	9
	Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands	g
	Nevada	10
	Trust Territory	30
	Time remains	-
EPA R	egion 10	, 1
	Alaska 3) <u> </u>
	Idaho 3)]
	Oregon	5]
	Washington	32

Introduction

EPA's Beach Program

On May 23, 1997, EPA Administrator Carol Browner announced the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's new Beaches Environmental Assessment, Closure, and Health (BEACH) Program. The goal of the BEACH program is to significantly reduce the risk of infection to users of the nation's recreational waters through improvements in recreational water programs, communication, and scientific advances. High levels of pathogens in recreational waters can increase human exposure through ingestion, inhalation, and body contact, thus increasing the risk of illness. Surveys and ongoing scientific studies continue to document the presence of, or the potential for, disease-carrying bacteria, viruses, and other pathogens present in local beach water, primarily from sewage and stormwater runoff.

Through its BEACH program, EPA is working to:

- Strengthen state, tribal, and local programs, including water quality standards;
- Develop and implement new tools to inform the public;
- Conduct research to improve the scientific basis for beach programs.

One specific focus of this program and the water quality standards program is to review and strengthen bacterial water quality standards.

Status Report

The purpose of the Status Report is to provide a brief overview of the bacterial water quality standards that have been adopted by states for their marine and fresh recreational waters in the United States. This report is based on consultations with EPA water quality standards coordinators. The report is accurate as of September 1997; however, there may be revisions to standards that are not reflected in this report. EPA will update the report periodically to reflect new information.

The following tables contain updated information on the bacterial water quality standards that have been adopted by states, territories, and tribes to protect human health from waterborne diseases within their jurisdictions. The information is presented in summary format for both states/territories and tribes. The standards are also described in more detail. The summary is organized first by EPA region, and then by state, territory, and tribe within each region.

For the precise regulatory language applicable to a particular state, the reader should consult the water quality standards of that state. Copies of state water quality standards may be obtained from the state's water quality management agency or its equivalent.

The reader should also note that these may not be the only guidelines or standards in effect for recreational waters in a particular location. It is not uncommon for a local health agency to develop and adopt site-specific guidelines as part of their public health codes. Although EPA is compiling a catalog of these guidelines, one should consult with the appropriate local health agency to obtain detailed information.

Water Quality Standards Background

In response to widespread public concern about the condition of our nation's waters, the United States Congress enacted landmark legislation in 1972. This statute, the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (referred to as the Clean Water Act of 1972, or CWA), expanded and built upon existing laws designed to control and prevent water pollution. Successive amendments to the 1972 CWA (the Clean Water Act of 1977 and the Water Quality Act of 1987) have continued to strengthen the law to better protect our nation's waters.

Water quality standards are the cornerstone of a state's water quality management program. States, territories, and Indian tribes set water quality standards for waters within their jurisdictions. Water quality standards define a use for a waterbody and describe the specific water quality criteria to achieve that use. The water quality standards also contain antidegradation policies to protect existing water quality. These are the goals by which success is ultimately gauged for a given waterbody or watershed.

The water quality standards program is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Congress has mandated that EPA is responsible for providing water quality criteria recommendations, approving state-adopted standards for interstate waters, evaluating adherence to the standards, and overseeing enforcement of standards compliance. Guidance for the development of standards by individual states, tribes, and territories is contained in the EPA documents *Water Quality Standards Handbook*, Second Edition (1983) and *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* (1986).

Fecal bacteria have been used as an indicator of the possible presence of pathogens in surface waters and the risk of disease, based on epidemiological evidence of gastrointestinal disorders from ingestion of contaminated surface water or raw shellfish. Contact with contaminated water can lead to ear or skin infections, and inhalation of contaminated water can cause respiratory diseases. The pathogens responsible for these diseases can be bacteria, viruses, protozoans, fungi, or parasites that live in the gastrointestinal tract and are shed in the feces of warm-blooded animals.

However, because of the difficulties in analyzing for and detecting the many possible pathogens or parasites, concentrations of fecal bacteria, including fecal coliforms, enterococci, and *Escherichia coli*, are used as the primary indicators of fecal contamination. The latter two indicators are considered to have a higher degree of association with outbreaks of certain diseases than fecal coliforms and were recommended as the basis for bacterial water quality standards in the 1986 *Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Bacteria* document (both for fresh waters, enterococci for marine waters). The standards are defined as a concentration of the indicator above which the health risk from waterborne disease is unacceptably high.

Prior to the 1986 revision to the National criterion, there were recommendations in the report of the National Technical Advisory Committee to the Secretary of the Interior, *Water Quality Criteria* (1967) and by EPA in *Quality Criteria for Water* (1976). Both of these documents were based on fecal coliforms and recommended that maximum densities not exceed geometric means of 200 organisms per 100 ml in recreational waters.

The 1986 criteria statement for bacteriological criteria follows:

EPA Criteria for Bathing (Full Body Contact) Recreational Waters

Freshwater

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the indicated bacterial densities should not exceed one or the other of the following:¹

E. coli 126 per 100 ml; or Enterococci 33 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit (C.L.) calculated using the following as guidance:

Designated bathing beach
Moderate use for bathing
Light use for bathing
Infrequent use for bathing
90% C.L.
95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.4 as the log standard deviation for both indicators.

Marine Water

Based on a statistically sufficient number of samples (generally not less than 5 samples equally spaced over a 30-day period), the geometric mean of the enterococci densities should not exceed 35 per 100 ml.

No sample should exceed a one sided confidence limit using the following as guidance:

Designated bathing beach
Moderate use for bathing
Light use for bathing
Infrequent use for bathing
90% C.L.
95% C.L.

based on a site-specific log standard deviation, or if site data are insufficient to establish a log standard deviation, then using 0.7 as the log standard deviation.

¹Only one indicator should be used. The regulatory agency should select the appropriate indicator for its conditions.

Acknowledgements

This report was compiled by Rick Hoffmann and Kent Ballentine in the Office of Science and Technology. To ensure the completeness and accuracy of this overview, the following EPA Regional Water Quality Standards Coordinators were contacted:

Region 1: Bill Beckwith

Office of Ecosystem Protection

Boston, MA

Region 2: Wayne Jackson

Division of Environmental Planning and Protection

New York, NY

Region 3: Evelyn MacKnight

Water Protection Division

Philadelphia, PA

Region 4: Fritz Wagener

Water Management Division

Atlanta, GA

Region 5: David Pfeifer

Water Division Chicago, IL

Region 6: Cheryl Overstreet

Water Quality Protection Division

Dallas, TX

Region 7: Larry Shepard

Water, Wetlands and Pesticides Division

Kansas City, KS

Region 8: Bill Wuerthele

Office of Ecosystems Protection and Remediation

Denver, CO

Region 9: Phil Woods

Water Division San Francisco, CA

Region 10: Lisa Macchio

Office of Water Seattle, WA

Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Summary Information

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States and Territories Within EPA Regions

Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water	Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water
Region 1			Region 2		
CT	EN/FC/TC ^{1,2}	EN	NJ .	EN/FC	EN/FC
ME	EC	EN	NY	FC/TC	FC/TC
MA	FC	FC	PR	•	EN/FC/TC
NH	EC	EN	VI	•	FC
RI	FC/TC	FC			
VT	EC	•			
Region 3			Region 4		
DE	EN	EN	AL	FC	FC
DC	FC	•	' FL	FC	FC
MD	FC	FC	GA	FC	FC
PA	FC	•	KY	FC	•
VA	FC	FC	MS	FC	FC
wv	FC	•	NC	FC	FC
			SC	FC	FC
			TN	FC	•
Region 5			Region 6		
IL	FC	•	AR	FC	•
IN	EC	•	LA	FC	FC
MI	EC/FC	•	NM	FC	•
MN	FC	•	OK	EC/EN/FC	•
ОН	EC/FC	•	TX	FC/EN	FC
WI	FC	•			

¹ FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

² Many jurisdictions use both the 1986 indicator criteria and fecal coliforms; some continue to use total coliforms. Even if a state has the authority to use the 1986 indicators, it may use another indicator at its discretion.

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for States and Territories Within EPA Regions

Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water	Region/State	Freshwater	Marine Water
Region 7			Region 8		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
IA	FC	•	со	FC	•
KS	FC .	•	МТ	FC	• .
МО	FC	•	ND	FC	•
NE	FC	•	SD	FC	•
			UT	FC/TC	•
			WY	FC	•
Region 9			Region 10		
AZ	EC/FC	•	AK	FC	FC
CA	EC/EN/FC/TC3	EN/FC/TC	ID	FC	•
н	FC	EN	OR	EC	FC
NV	EC/FC	•	WA	FC	FC
Amer. Samoa	FC	FC		· ·	
CNMI	EC/EN/FC	EN			
Guam	FC	FC			
Trust Territory	FC	EN/FC			

³ California has 9 Regional Boards; some use the 1986 indicator criteria, whereas some use fecal coliforms and total coliforms entirely or for other purposes.

Summary of Bacterial Water Quality Standards for Tribes Within EPA Regions

Region/State	Tribe	Freshwater
Region 6		•
NM	Isleta Pueblo	FC ¹
	Nambe Pueblo	FC
	Picuris Pueblo	FC
	Pojoaque Pueblo	FC
	Sandia Pueblo	FC
	San Juan Pueblo	FC
	Santa Clara Pueblo	FC
OK	Seminole Tribe	FC .
Region 8		
CO	Southern Utes Tribe	FC
MT	Salish and Kootenai Tribes - Flathead Tribes	FC (Adopted)
	Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes - Fort Peck Reservation	EC (Proposed)
ND	Three Affiliated Tribes - Fort Berthold Reservation	FC (Proposed)
Region 9		
CA	Hoopa Valley Tribe .	EC
Region 10		
WA	Chehalis Tribe	FC
	Colville Confederated Tribes	EN
	Puyallup Tribe	FC

¹ FC = fecal coliforms; TC = total coliforms; EN = enterococci; EC = *Escherichia coli*.

Narrative Summary (as of September, 1997)

Islands

Maine

For freshwaters, 37 states, the District of Columbia, and two trust territories still rely on the 1968 200 FC/100 mL water standard as their primary indicator for recreational waters:

Oklahoma Maryland Alabama Pennsylvania Massachusetts Arkansas Rhode Island California (some regions) Minnesota Mississippi South Carolina Colorado Missouri South Dakota District of Columbia Montana Tennessee Florida Nebraska Texas Hawaii **Trust Territory** Nevada Illinois Utah New Jersey Iowa New Mexico Virginia Kansas Wisconsin New York Kentucky West Virginia North Carolina Louisiana Wyoming North Dakota Commonwealth of the Ohio Northern Marianna

Seven states and two territories have fecal and/or total coliform standards more stringent than 200 FC/ 100 mL and 1000 TC/100 mL:

Alaska Guam Massachusetts
American Samoa Idaho Rhode Island
California (some regions) Illinois (Lake Michigan) Washington

Eleven states and one territory have adopted an E. coli standard for freshwater:

Commonwealth of the New Hampshire Arizona Ohio Northern Mariana California Oklahoma (San Francisco Bay, **Islands** Oregon Michigan San Diego, and Vermont Colorado River Basin Nevada (Lake Tahoe and regions) Humboldt River) Indiana

Six states, one tribe, and one territory use enterococci as a standard for freshwater:

California Delaware Texas
(San Francisco Bay, Commonwealth of the San Diego, Colorado River Basin regions)
Connecticut

Commonwealth of the (Houston Ship Channel)
Washington-Colville
Confederated Tribes
New Jersey

Oklahoma

Eight states and one territory use a combination of bacterial indicator standards:

California (E. coli, enterococci, fecal and total coliforms)

Connecticut (enterococci, fecal and total coliforms)

Ohio (E. coli and fecal coliforms)

Oklahoma (choice of E. coli, enterococci, or fecal coliforms)

Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (E. coli and enterococci)

Michigan (E. coli and fecal coliforms)

New Jersey (enterococci and fecal coliforms)

New York (fecal and total coliforms)

Rhode Island (fecal and total coliforms)

Twelve tribes use or have proposed using fecal coliform densities for evaluating freshwater quality, one uses enterococci, and two use or have proposed using E. coli.

Of those jurisdictions having marine water quality standards, 17 states and three trust territories use fecal coliforms:

Alabama Louisiana Oregon Alaska Maryland Rhode Island American Samoa Massachusetts South Carolina California Mississippi **Texas** Florida New Jersey Virgin Islands

Georgia New York Virginia Guam North Carolina Washington

Six states and one territory use enterococci:

Connecticut Commonwealth of the New Hampshire Delaware Northern Mariana New Jersey

Hawaii Islands (1500 ft-3 mi from Maine

shoreline)

One state and two territories use a combination of fecal coliforms and enterococci:

California (San Diego Region, Shore)

Puerto Rico

Trust Territory

Six states and the District of Columbia have included provisions in which the numeric criteria for bacteria standards do not apply where CSOs and storm water discharges are likely to result in violations:

Delaware Michigan Utah

District of Columbia Missouri Iowa North Carolina Twenty-one states have seasonal standards, where the criteria apply only during the swimming season:

Alabama	Maine	North Dakota
Arkansas	Massachusetts	Oklahoma
Georgia	Michigan	Pennsylvania
Idaho	Minnesota	South Dakota
Indiana	Mississippi	Vermont
Iowa	Missouri	West Virginia
Kansas	Nebraska	Wyoming

Bacterial Water Quality Standards - Detailed Overview

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater	M	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 1	Connecticut	Class AA	100 TC			
				sample to exce		
		Class A/SA	33 EN	100 TC	33 EN	
			monthly m	sample may exposing average.	No more th	
		Class B/SB	33 EN	200 FC	33 EN	
			ceed 61 EN. s may exceed	No more than 1 400.		
	Comments:					
•	Maine	Class AA & A/SA	(see note)		(see note)	
			Note: Bacteria content may be as naturally occur			
		Class B/SB	64 EC		8 EN	∆₩0100200A0bAUbbAU
			For season May 15-September 30. Class B may not exceed instantaneous level of 427 EC. Class SB may not exceed instantaneous level of 54 EN.			
		Class C/SC	142 EC		14 EN	
			For season May 15–September 30. Class C may not exceed instantaneous level of 949 EC. Class SC may not exceed instantaneous level of 94 EN.			
	Comments:					
	Massachusetts	Class A/SA	20 FC		200 FC	
			No more t	hmetic mean. ny exceed 100 nay be applied		

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater	М	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 1	Massachusetts	Class B/SB	200 FC		200 FC		
					10% of FC samples may exceed 400 may be applied seasonally.		
		Class C/SC	1000 FC 1000 FC				
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	y exceed 2000.	
	Comments:						
	New	Class A	47 EC		35 EN		
	Hampshire		No single sample may exceed 153 EC or respectively. For "beach," no single same exceed 88 EC. Based on minimum of 3 same in a 60-day period.				
		Class B	126 EC		35 EN		
				sample may early. Based on may period.			
		Class B	47 EC				
		(beaches)		sample may of 3 samples ta			
		Temporary Partial Use	(none)	(none)	(none)	(none)	
	Comments:						
	Rhode Island	d Class A/SA	100 TC 20 FC		70 TC 15FC		
water the second se			Primary values based on median. No more than 10% of TC samples may exceed 500 and 330, respectively. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 50, respectively.				

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	Freshwater		arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 1	Rhode Island	Class B/SB	1000 TC		700 TC			
			Values based on median. No more than 20% and 10% of TC samples may exceed 2400 and 2300, respectively.					
			200 FC		50 FC			
				sed on median. I ples may exceed				
	,	Class C/SC	***************************************	(see note)		(see note)		
				ne in concentrat ned to this class		ıld impair any		
	Comments:	Marine FC criter	ria are guide	s pending furth	er research.			
	Vermont	Class A	18 EC					
		Class B	77 EC	, mar a de constant de constan				
			Secretary	may waive Oct	ober 31–Apri	11.		
	Comments:							
Region 2	New Jersey	Saline Coastal (SC) Waters			50 FC			
		Within 1500 ft of Coastline						
		Freshwater 2 (FW2)	ter 2 200 FC					
	,		No more	than 10% of FC	samples may	y exceed 400.		

				shwater	Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 2	New Jersey	FW2 (cont.)	33 EN			
			No single	***************************************		
		Saline Estuary 1 (SE1) and SC			200 FC	
			No more th	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.
		1500 ft - 3 mi from shoreline			35 EN	
			No single	sample may ex	ceed 104 EN.	
		Saline Estuary 2 (SE2)				770 FC
		Saline Estuary 3 (SE3)				1500 FC
		Mainstem Delawar	are River and Delaware Bay:			
		Zones 1C, 1D, 1E, 6	200 FC			
		Zone 2	200 FC	770 FC	************************************	
			Primary R 108.4	M 133.4–117.8	31; secondary	/ RM 133.4–
		Zones 3,4		770 FC		
		Zone 5	200 FC	770 FC		
			Primary RI	M 59.5–48.2; s	econdary RM	78.8–59.5
	Comments:					
	New York	Class AA	50 TC	**********************		Madao 10668006113610111011101
			samples m	ed on median. ay exceed 240 disinfection.		

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fres	shwater	M	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 2	New York	Class A	2400 TC 200 FC	************************		
			TC value based on median. No more than 20% samples may exceed 20,000.			
		Class B/SB	2400 TC 200 FC		2400 TC 200 FC	************************
				based on media ay exceed 5000		an 20% of TC
		Class C/SC	2400 TC 200 FC	w	2400 TC 200 FC	***************************************
				based on media ay exceed 5000		an 20% of TC
		Class D/SD	2400 TC 200 FC		2400 TC 200 FC	
			samples n Class D v Class SD v	based on media nay exceed 500 vaters. There a waters. Class S al purposes.	00. Criteria re no bacteri	apply only to ial criteria for
,		Class I			10000 TC 2000 FC	
		Class A-Special (A-S)	1000 TC			
		Fresh Surface Water	200 FC			
	Comments:					

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater	Ma	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 2	Puerto Rico	Class SA	***************************************		(see note)		
			Note: Ma	y not be altered	except by na	tural causes.	
		Class SB			35 EN 200 FC		
			35 EN for "intensely used waters"; otherwise, 200 FC. No more than 20% of FC samples may exceed 400.				
		Class SC	10,000 TC 2000 FC				
			No more the	han 20% of FC	samples may	exceed 4000.	
		Class SD	10,000 TC 2000 FC				
			No more th	han 20% of FC	samples may	exceed 4000.	
		Class SE		m1200000102222222222222	(see note)		
			Note: Non by natural	e of the parame causes.	ters may be a	ltered, except	
	Comments:						
	Virgin Islands	Class A	(see note)	***************************************			
			Note: Exchanged.	sisting natural	conditions a	re not to be	
		Class B	70 FC				
		Class C			100 FC		
	Comments:						

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fresh	water	Ma	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary S	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 3	Delaware		100 EN†		100 EN†		
			characterized	d by the ab	sence of rai	r conditions nfall-induced water quality	
		•	193 EN†		155 EN†		
		These are Delaware's 1997 Recreation Quality Guidelines for bathing beaches. freshwater sample may exceed 360 EN. marine sample may exceed 2,212 EN, owithin one-half mile of Indian River Inlet					
	Comments:	†EPA has not yet approved these criteria.					
	District of Columbia		200 FC† 1000 FC† Numeric standard for FC, DO, turbidity, and unionized NH ₃ do not apply for 24 hr following high flow conditions.				
	Comments:	+ED 4 has not not					
		†EPA has not yet		200 FC	200 FC	200 EC	
	Maryland	·	No more than	***************************************		200 FC exceed 400.	
	Comments:				<u>r</u>		
	Pennsylvania	Bac1	200 FC				
			Swimming season (May 1—September 30). 2000 FC applies during the rest of the year.				
		Bac 2	5000 FC				
						eed 5000 FC.	
		Bac 3	5	5000 FC			

			Fre	shwater	M	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 3	Pennsylvania	Bac 4		770 FC		
			Delaware I Bridge.	River from head	of tide to Bur	lington Bristol
		Bac 5	200 FC	******************************		***************************************
				River from Bu nia-Delaware li		stol Bridge to
	Comments:					
	Virginia	***	200 FC	200 FC	200 FC	200 FC
			Based on two or more samples over 30 days. sample may exceed a maximum of 1000 FC.			
	Comments:				3	
	West Virginia	Categories A&C	200FC			
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.
		Ohio River	2000 FC	M*************************************	W 0700001010101010101010000	• M 4 N = 4 D 4 O 4 O 6 4 O 4 O 4 C 4 C 6 O 7 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7 D 7
		(Category C)	For nonrec	creation season	November–A	April only.
	Comments:					
Region 4	Alabama		200 FC	200 FC	100 FC	100 FC
			Primary applies year-round. Secondary applies for out of season (October–May). Out of season mean 2000 FC; 4000 FC sample maximum for freshwater and marine waters.			on mean 2000
	Comments:					

		•	Fre	Freshwater		arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 4	Florida		200 FC		200 FC			
			FC on any average. I	han 10% FC san one day. 1000 No more than 2 ed 1000. 2400 d on minimum	TC maximur 20% of TC s TC maximu	n for monthly ingle samples n on any one		
	Comments:				***			
	Georgia		200 FC	200 FC	100 FC	200 FC		
			Primary applies year-round. Secondary applies of season (October–May). Out of season, 104000 FC sample maximum. Based on minimu samples.					
-	Comments:							
	Kentucky		200 FC	1000 FC				
			may excee	October; no mored 400 and 200 condary contact	00, respectiv	ely. Out of		
	Comments:					······································		
	Mississippi	Recreation	200 FC		200 FC			
			No more th	an 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.		
		Fish & Wildlife	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC		
			From Nove	nan 10% of FC ember to April, 10% of FC sam	secondary ap	pplies and no		
	Comments:							

			Fre	shwater	Ma	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 4	North Carolina	Class SA (shellfishing)	14 FC			
		•	Based on median value. No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 43 in those areas most probably exposed to fecal contamination during the most unfavorable hydrographic and pollution conditions.			
		Class B/SB (Primary Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt)	200 FC		200 FC	
			No more t	han 20% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.
		Class C/SC		200 FC		200 FC
		(Secondary Recreation, Fresh\Tidal Salt)	No more than 20% of FC samples may exceed 4 Violations are expected immediately following peri of rainfall in segments where uncontrollable nonposource pollution prevents attainment.			owing periods
	Comments:					
	South Carolina	Class FW/SA	200 FC		200 FC	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.
		Class SB				1000 FC
			No more than 20% of FC samples may exceed 2000.			
	Comments:				_	
	Tennessee	Recreation	200 FC			
			Based on minimum of 10 samples. No single FC sample may exceed 1000.			

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater		arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 4	Tennessee	Domestic Water Supply	1000 FC			
				a minimum of 1 ny exceed 5000.	10 samples.	No single FC
		Fish & Wildlife	1000 FC	************************	************************	
			Based on a minimum of 10 samples. No single sample may exceed 5000.			
	Comments:					
Region 5	Illinois	·	200 FC			
			No more th	han 10% of FC	samples ma	y exceed 400.
		Lake Michigan	20 FC			
_	Comments:					
	Indiana		125 EC	***************************************	***************************************	
			For season 235 EC.	n April through	October. M	ay not exceed
	Comments:					
	Michigan		130 EC 200 FC			
			sources. suspended affect a sev	rceeded if due to Primary stand due to flood, ac wer or wastewate November 1-A	lard can be cident, or en er treatment s	e temporarily nergencies that
	Comments:	The EC value is assessing effluent	-	lue is used for		

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater	M	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 5	Minnesota	Class A	200 FC			
				han 10% of FC pplies only duri		
		Class B	200 FC	w		
				nan 10% of FC s pplies only duri		
	Comments:					
	Ohio	Lake Erie & Ohio River Uses	200 FC 126 EC			
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. No more than 10% of EC samples may exceed 235. Based on not less than 5 samples taken during any 30-day period.			
		Rest of state	1000 FC 126 EC	5000 FC 576 EC		
			and 5000,	han 10% of FC respectively. I may exceed 27].	No more tha	n 10% of EC
	Comments:	Both Lake Erie and the Ohio River are designated as bathing waters. For each designation, at least one of the two bacterial standards (FC or EC, must be met. These criteria apply outside the mixing zone.				
!	Wisconsin		200 FC			
				han 10% of FC ater segments h		
	Comments:				,	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Fre	shwater	. M:	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 6	Arkansas		200 FC	1000 FC		
			2000, resp primary s primary sta	han 10% of FC sectively. For extandard always andard in effect accordary applies	traordinary re applies; for April 1–Septe	source waters, other waters,
	Comments:					
	Lousiana		200 FC	1000 FC	200 FC	1000 FC
				than 10% of FO respectively.	C samples ma	ay exceed 400
-	Comments:				F	
	New Mexico		200 FC			
			No single respective	e sample may exely.	xceed 400 FO	C or 2000 FC,
		Select Segments:	100 FC			
			No single	sample may ex	ceed 200 FC	
	Comments:					
	Oklahoma		200 FC 126 EC 33 EN	Narrative		
				September; rest No more than 00.		
	Comments:	Adopted WQS to	allow choic	e of FC, EC, El	V.	

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater	Marine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 6	Texas		200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC		
			10 or fewe	nan 10% of samp r samples collect 0 FC and 4000	ted, no singl	e sample may		
		Houston Ship Channel	No more than 10% of EN samples (if more than samples) or a single sample (if fewer than 10 sample may exceed 500. This criterion applies for two segments of the Houston Ship Channel.					
	Comments:	methodology; Tex	Texas Department of Health uses most probable number (MPN methodology; Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission use membrane filtration (MF) methodology.					
Region 7	Iowa		200 FC					
			For April 1–October 31 season. Excepted wh waters are materially affected by surface runoff, be FC levels downstream from discharge may not >200 more than the background level upstream.					
	Comments:							
	Kansas		200 FC 2000 FC					
			Primary co	nan 10% of FC ontact use appi applies year-ro	lies April 1-			
	Comments:	numeric criteria fo	Classified surface waters may be excluded from the application of numeric criteria for fecal coliform when stream flow exceeds 50% of estimated 2-year flood flow.					
·	Missouri		200 FC					
				when the strear r runoff. Appli				
	Comments:	State applies FC W	VQC to desig	nated losing st	reams also, t	out on a year-		

			Fre	shwater	Marine			
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 7	Nebraska		200 FC					
			No more than 10% of FC samples may excee Applies May 1– September 30.					
	Comment:							
Region 8	Colorado		200 FC	2000 FC				
	Comments:							
	Montana	Class A	50 FC					
		Class B-E	200 FC					
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.					
	Comments:							
	North Dakota		200 FC					
			Only duri	ng recreation se	ason May 1-	September 30		
	Comments:							
	South Dakota		200 FC	1000 FC				
			and 1000, exceed 40	than 20% of FO respectively. A 00 and 2000, re eptember 30.	ny one FC sa	mple may not		
	Comments:							

			Fre	Freshwater		arine		
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary		
Region 8	Utah	Class 2A	1000 TC 200 FC					
			unusually	f stream to m high is not a cau ermit requireme	se for action i			
		Class 2B		5000 TC 200 FC				
	Comments:							
	Wyoming		200 FC 1000 FC					
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed and 2000, respectively. For recreational season Ma —September 30.					
	Comments:							
Region 9	American Samoa		100 FC		100 FC			
			No more t	than 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 200.		
	Comments:							
	Arizona		130 EC	1000 FC				
			Single sample maximum of 580 EC in primary. I more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400 a 2000, respectively. Limits of 200 FC also apply secondary waters that are effluent-dominated.					
	Comments:							

		general A	Fre	shwater	. M :	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 9	California	North Coastal Region	50 FC		50 FC		
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
		SF Bay Region	200 FC 240 TC	2000 FC	200 FC 240 TC	2000 FC	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
			33 EN† 126 EC†				
			†Maximum EN and EC limits vary by level of use.				
		Central Coast	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC	
		Los Angles	No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.				
			200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC	
		Region	No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
		Central Valley	200 FC	·····	60		
		Region	No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	
•		Folsom Lake:	100 FC			# * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 200.	
		Lahontan Region	20 FC		***************************************	•••••	
	***	(Eagle Lake, Susan River, Lake Tahoe)	No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 400.	

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater	M	arine
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 9	California	Colorado River Basin Region	200 FC 33 EN 126 EC	630 EC 165 EN		
				than 10% of FC mum limits for l	-	•
		Santa Ana	200 FC	2000 FC	200 FC	2000 FC
		Region	100 TC ma	han 10% of FC aximum in lakes water supply.		
		San Diego Region	200 FC 33 EN 126 EC	2000 FC	200 FC 35 EN	2000 FC
		Ocean Plan			1000 TC 200 FC	
				nan 20% of TC s stuaries. No mo d 400.		
		Shore			24 EN	
			12 EN mea	an over 6 month	18.	
	Comments:					
	Hawaii		200 FC	***************************************	7 EN†	
			Inland: based on minimum of 10 samples. No not than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400. †Marine: based on minimum of 5 samples.			
	Comments:					

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fres	shwater	IV.	Iarine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 9	Guam	M1/S1/Shellfish	(see note)		(see note)		
				may not be at any time.	increased	from natural	
		M2/S2	70 FC		70 FC		
			Values based on arithmetic mean. No FC samples ma exceed 400 at any time.				
		M3/S3	200 FC	·	200 FC	,	
			Values based on arithmetic mean. No FC sample may exceed 400 at any time.				
	Comments:	All Guam standar	l Guam standards based on a minimum of 4 samples.				
	Common- wealth of the	All waters	200 FC		200 FC		
	Northern Mariana Islands		No FC samples may exceed 400 at any time.				
		Class AA			35 EN		
		Class 1	33 EN 35 EN 125 EC		35 EN		
		Class A			125 EN		
		Class 2	90 EN 300 EC				
	Comments:	All Mariana Islan	ds standards	s based on a mi	nimum of 5	samples.	

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Fre	shwater	M	arine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary	
Region 9	Nevada	Class A and B	200 FC				
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.				
	Wate	rs not listed below	200 FC	1000 FC			
			More stringent of the following: For 1000 FC, no more than 20% of samples may exceed 2400 FC. Annual geometric mean FC concentration may not exceed characteristics of natural conditions by more than 200 FC, nor 400 FC in a single sample. For primary, no more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 400.				
		Lake Tahoe and Tributaries and	126 EC				
		Humboldt River Basin	(median)	oe also has FC for offshore and River Basin ha	l undevelope	d lake shore.	
	Comments:						
	Trust Territory	Classes AA and 1 (shellfish)	70 FC		70 FC		
			Values ba exceed 23	sed on median. 0.	No FC singl	e sample may	
		Classes					
		AAand A	No EN sir	ngle sample ma	y exceed 60.		
		Classes A, B, and 2	200 FC		200 FC		
			No FC single sample may exceed 400.			•	
	Comments:	All Trust Territor	y standards	based on a min	nimum of 10 s	camples.	

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Freshwater		Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 10	Alaska		100 FC	200 FC		
		No more than 1 sample, or 10% of the samples if there are more than 10 samples, may exceed 200 FC and 400 FC for both freshwater and marine, primary and secondary, respectively.				
	Comments:	Alaska designates all waters for all uses, and the most stringent criteria must be used. Therefore, for freshwater, the drinking water use criterion of 20 FC usually drives most NPDES permit actions, 303(d) listings, and TMDL development. For marine waters, the most stringent bacterial criterion is for the seafood processing use = 20 FC (no more than 10% of the samples may exceed 40 FC). Even though Alaska has 100 FC/200 FC as its recreation criteria, more stringent criteria for other use categories take precedence.				
	Idaho		50 FC	200 FC		
			and 400, respectivel May 1–Se	than 10% of FC espectively. Ma ly, at any time. I eptember 30 for other times.	y not exceed Levels apply	500 and 800, during season
	Comments:					
	Oregon		126 EC		14 FC	
			more than For estuar same criter	ater single samp 10% of FC mari ine waters other ion as freshwat h shellfish, sam	ne samples mer than shells fer criterion.	ay exceed 43. fish growing, For estuarine
	Comments:					

Bacterial Water Quality Standards by EPA Region

			Freshwater		Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 10	Washington	Class AA (extraordinary)	50 FC		14 FC	
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 100 and 43, respectively.			
		Class A (excellent)	100 FC		14 FC	
			No more than 10% of FC samples may exceed 200 and 43, respectively.			
		Class B (good)		200 FC		100 FC
				than 10% of FC espectively. On	-	-
		Class C (fair)				200 FC
				than 10% of FC gnated for secon	-	•
		Lake Class	50 FC			u 40 14 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
			No more t	han 10% of FC	samples may	exceed 100.
	Coleville Conf. Tribes	Class I (extraordinary)	8 EN			
			No single	sample may ex	ceed 35 EN.	
		Class II (excellent)	16 EN		~~~	,
т			No single	sample may ex	ceed 75 EN.	
		Class III (good)	****************	33 EN		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				e sample may I for secondary		EN. Only
		Lake Class		33 EN		1 million 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
			No single sample may exceed 150 EN.			

			Freshwater		Marine	
Region	State	Class	Primary	Secondary	Primary	Secondary
Region 10	Washington (Coleville Conf. Tribes)	Class IV (special resource waters)	(see note)			
				not exceed natuary and seconda		s. Applies for
	Comments:		Puyallup tribal water quality standards are identical to standards for bacteria.			

Sources: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Regional Offices and Office of Science and Technology, Standards and Applied Science Division.

	,
	· ·