





#### PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME: COASTAL INFRASTRUCTURE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE

### A COURSE IN COASTAL DEFENSE SYSTEMS I

#### CHAPTER 6

### LONGSHORE SEDIMENT TRANSPORT PROCESSES

#### By DAVE BASCO, PhD

Professor, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering And Director, the Coastal Engineering Centre, Old Dominion University Norfolk, VA

Organized by Department of Civil Engineering, The University of the West Indies, in conjunction with Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA, USA and Coastal Engineering Research Centre, US Army, Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg, MS, USA.

St. Lucia, West Indies, July 18-21, 2001

# Coastal Sediment Properties and Longshore Sediment Transport

Julie Rosati Julie.D.Rosati@erdc.usace.army.mil 601-634-3005 Coastal Planning Course Lesson #8 Tuesday 8:00-9:00 am CEM III-1, III-2

### 2. Longshore Sediment Transport

(CEM |||-2)

### 1. Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III -1)

## Coastal Sediment Properties

### Reference: CEM Part III-1

Why Important?

Dredging: type of dredge

Environmental: transport of fines, contaminated material

Beach Fill: longevity, aesthetics

Scour Protection: resist movement, dissipate energy, relieve pressure

Sediment Transport Studies: tracer

Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III-1)

## How do we classify sediments?

Size: particle diameter -->Mesh size just allowing grain to pass

Fall Speed: speed at which sediment falls in fluid

--> incorporates sediment density, shape, and fluid characteristics

"Sedimentation Diameter" = diameter of sphere having same density and fall speed

> Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III-1)



## Sediment Size Classifications



Engineer-developed

Geologist-developed

CEM Table III-1-2, p.III-1-8

## Units of Sediment Size

- U.S. Standard Sieve
- Millimeters
- Phi units

 $\Phi = -\log_2 D$  $D = 2^{-\Phi}$ 

Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III-1)

## **Bulk Properties of Sediments**

Clays, silts, muds: foundation, dredged material, bluffs

Organically-bound sediment (peat): back bays and tidal wetlands very compressible

Sand and gravel: ocean beaches calcium carbonate sand oolites (elliptical in shape)

## Experiment



- Settling characteristics of various sediments in water
- Samples A, B, & C

Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III-1)

# Fall Speed



 $W_{f} = f(D, \rho, \rho_{s'} C_{D})$  (Eq. 1-7)

D = grain diameter  $\rho = \text{density of water}$   $\rho_s = \text{density of sediment}$  $C_D = \text{drag coefficient}$ 

> Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III-1)

### **TEST MATERIAL!!**

# Fall Speed

With all other parameters held constant.... As grain diameter increases, fall speed increases  $D \blacklozenge$ ,  $W_f \blacklozenge$ 

Which means that the coarsest sediment will fall the fastest...

and will tend to remain in the more energetic parts of the profile...

such as where waves plunge on the beach

Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III -1)

# Longshore Sediment Transport (LST)

### Reference: CEM Part III-2

# What is LST?



## "transport of sediments within the surf zone, directed parallel to the coast"



Shoreward Observer:  $Q_R$  = transport to right  $Q_L$  = transport to left

# Why is LST Important?

Dredging requirements: deposition and shoaling in open-coast channels; placement of dredged material

**Beach condition**: understanding long- and short-term erosion & accretion trends

**Coastal projects**: designing structures & beach fill to mitigate for beach erosion; designing inlet structures to better operate and maintain channels







## Estimating Net and Gross Transport Rates

 Adopt a well-established rate from a nearby site -modify based on local conditions

 Compute from historical data

 shoreline position, bathymetric change, dredging volumes

3. Calculate using wave and beach data

4. Determine from experimental measurements

# 1. Adopt a well-established rate from a nearby site (1 of 2)

(see also Table III-2-1)

### EAST AND GULF:

Sandy Hook, NJ Cape May, NJ

Ocean City, MD Oregon Inlet, NC

Pinellas County, FL

380,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (net) 900,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (gross)

115,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (net) 1,600,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (gross)

40,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (net)

1. Adopt a well-established rate from a nearby site (2 of 2) (see also Table III-2-1)

### PACIFIC AND GREAT LAKES:

Santa Barbara, CA Oceanside, CA 210,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (net) 160,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (gross)

Columbia River WA/OR 1,500,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (gross)

Waukegan to Evanston, IL 40,000 m<sup>3</sup>/yr (net)

# 2. Compute from historical data (1 of 5)

a. Impoundment by Jetties and Breakwaters

 b. Rate of Shoreline Change long-term erosion/accretion growth of spits

c. Rate of Bathymetric Change deposition basin rate of channel shoaling

d. Dredging volumes indicator of gross?

## a. Impoundment by Jetties and Breakwaters





### b. Rate of Shoreline Change



## (4 of 5) c. Rate of Bathymetric Change



## Volume accreted ~ $Q_{right}$



# d. Dredging volumes



# 3. Calculate using wave and beach data (1 of 5)

3. Calculate using wave and beach data (2 of 5)

 $Q = f(H_b, \alpha_b, \rho_s, \rho, n, k)$  (Eq. 2-7b)

 $H_b$  = breaking wave height  $\alpha_b$  = breaking wave angle relative to shoreline

 $\rho_s$  = mass density of sediment

- $\rho$  = mass density of water
- n = in-place sediment porosity ~ 0.4



### Figure III-2-4

□ S. Lake Worth, FL 0.40 mm + Anaheim Bay, CA 0.40 mm ★ Silver Strand, CA 0.18 mm - X El Moreno, Mexico 0.60 mm □ Chappel In CA (2020) 0.20 p

# Note: K can be calculated based on $D_{50}$ K = 1.4 e <sup>(-2.5 D\_{50})</sup>





 $Q_{||} = 0$ 

Q increases

Q<sub>I</sub> greatest

3. Calculate using wave and beach data (5 of 5)  $Q = f(H_b, W, V_l, C_f, V/V_o, \rho_s, \rho, n, k)$ (Eq. 2-11, 2-7a)

W = width of surf zone  $V_I$  = measured longshore current  $C_f$  = friction coefficient  $V/V_o$  = dimensionless longshore current

# 4. Experimental Measurements

Sand tracer Instruments (Optical Backscatter Sensors, Pumping samplers) Traps (suspended and bedload) Temporary structure (e.g., groin)

Characteristics of LST: *Conceptual Yearly Cycle* (see Figs 111-2-7 and 111-2-9)





## Characteristics of LST: *Cross-shore Distribution* (see Fig 111-2-21)

 $\bigcirc$ 



## **Sediment Budgets**



## **Sediment Budgets**

$$\Sigma Q_{\text{source}} - \Sigma Q_{\text{sink}} - \Delta V + P - R = Residual$$

## For a balanced cell, Residual = 0



$$Q_{1\_left} = ? \qquad ocean \qquad Q_{2\_left} = 100 \\ Q_{1\_right} = 20 \qquad \Delta V = -20, P = 50 \\ R = 0 \qquad Q_{2\_right} = 20 \\ \Delta V = -20, P = 50 \\ R = 0 \qquad Q_{2\_right} = 20 \\ Q_{2\_r$$

# Numerical LST Shoreline Change Model -- GENESIS



- predict future, with-project shoreline positions
- essentially a sediment budget for each grid cell
- driven by waves, site characteristics
- can incorporate structures, beach fill

Coastal Sediment Properties (CEM III -1)

## HYDRODYNAMICS OF COASTAL REGIONS



#### RADIATION STRESS PRINCIPLES

· the time-averaged excess horizontal momentum flux due to presence of water waves.





- Idealized environment for longshore current theory Wave Field
- •Simple, monochromatic gravity wave trains
- •Steady-state, incident wave field
- Two-dimensional, horizontally propagating
- Linearized theory and radiation stresses
- •Oblique angle of incidence, long wave crests
- •Spilling-type breakers
- Constant breaker ratio in surf zone

### Idealized environment for longshore current theory Beach

- Infinite length, straight and parallel contours
- •Plane bottom slope
- •Gentle slope
- Impermeable bottom
   Fluid
- Incompressible
- Homogeneous (no air entrainment)
- Current
- •Depth-integrated, parallel to coastline
- •Time-average (one wave period)

### Idealized environment for longshore current theory

Neglected Stresses and Accelerations

- No surface wind stress
- No atmospheric pressure gradient
- No Coriolis acceleration
- •No tides
- •No local (time-average acceleration, I.e., steady flow
- No wave-turbulence interaction stresses
- No bed shear stress outside of surf zone
- •No rip currents present
- No wave-current interaction stresses



















Dominant and secondary paths of tracer grains on the foreshore slope

Path of tracer grains within and immediately shoreward of the breaker (plunge) zone

Path of tracer grams seaward of the breaker

Fig. 2. The mean motion of bottom sediments in the surf zone (from Ingle, 1966).





















