JOB REPORT

Joseph P. Breuer Marine Biologist

Project No.	M-9-D-6	Date	18 July 196	ly 1960.	
Project Name:	A Developmental Survey of t	he Comme	cial Oyster	Populati	on of
	the Port Isabel Area.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Period Covered:	June 1, 1959 to May 31, 196	0.		Job No.	<u>G-1</u>
Experimental	Rehabilitation of the Comme Area, Especially in the	-		Project	

Objectives: To determine whether or not the commercial production of oysters in the Port Isabel area of the work project area can be increased.

Procedures: Checks were made on the growth, condition, spawning time and rate, spatfall, predators, and survival rate of oysters on the six experimental oyster reef and these were compared with the conditions of the existing oysters of the area and of other areas. The feasibility of promoting or encouraging the building of public and/or private reefs, both for the commercial production of oysters and for the improvement of sports fishing in the area was investigated.

Findings: Several reports were received, second hand, regarding the harvest of oysters from reefs #2 and 4 during the spring months. While this was not verified, the reduction of commercial and near commercial sized oysters on these two reefs at this time would indicate that these reports are accurate. It is still doubtful if commercial production can be obtained in Port Isabel. Bay at this time. See Figure I for reef location.

On June 1, 1959 the beginning of the project period, Reef #3 contained half horse and half commercial oysters. O equestris ranged from 9 to 30 mm and C. virginica, ranging in size from 6 to 50 mm. Set was heavy. Reef #1 contained 80% O. equestris ranging from 9 to 15 mm. Reef #4 contained half O. equestris of 13 to 33 mm and half C. virginica of 11 to 43 mm. Reef #5, a seeded reef, contained a pure set of the commercial oyster of two distinct size groups; 6 to 14 mm and 36 to 61 mm. Reef #6 contained one-third O. equestris of 13 to 18 mm and the remaining two-thirds, C. virginica, of two size groups, 7 to 18 mm and 39 to 41 mm.

No further sampling was made until November of 1959. Attempts to locate Reef #5 failed and to this date, it has not yet been found.

Since all other types of spat collectors have previously failed, a new collector was used starting in early September 1959. This collector was composed of an angle iron stake to which was attached two 30 by 100 cm sheets of asbestos siding. While little difficulty was found in the locating of the spat collectors as with previous type collectors, there is some evidence that data obtained from the use of these asbestos sidings may be erroneous. From January through May of 1960, all spat collectors had light to very heavy sets of barnacles and no oysters although in many cases, oyster spat appeared on the cultch shell of the reefs. While barnacles were abundant on the collector, few had set on the oyster cultch shell. It would appear, at this time, that the data obtained from the use of asbestos siding spat collectors must be supplimented with data obtained from the cultch shell of the reefs.

In November of 1959, a check was made of reefs 2, 3, 4, and 6. All sizes of young oysters are present on all reefs. There had been a 90% mortality of young oysters on reef #3 which was offset by a good set of spat in November. Reefs 2 and 4 had good populations of young oysters and good spat sets although those on reef #6 was light. There was a 5% mortality of spat on reef #3 caused by the oyster drill (Thais).

In January, reef #6 still had only a light set. Reefs 1 and 3, both unseeded, had a light to moderate set of 0. equestris. Reef #2 showed that the excellent oyster set of the previous month was now dead. Large numbers of 40 to 70 mm oysters were present on Reef #4.

In March, it was found that reef #1 was void of any oysters while #3 contains mostly O. equestris. Reef #4 continues to be the most promising with many large clusters of almost marketable size oysters. On March 24th, four bushels of South Bay seed oysters were placed on reef #1 and another four bushels on reef #6.

At the end of the project period, no changes had taken place in the condition of any of the reefs since March. Growth and set appeared to be most promising on reef #4.

Tests run on oysters removed from reef #6 on May 5th show an average shell factor of 1.58 solids of 17%, and a condition factor of 4.1.

In June of 1959 a slight indication of \underline{D} . marinum infestation on reef #5 was found although further checks in July were negative.

On June 1960 all reefs indicated moderate to heavy sets of oysters on all reefs but with $\underline{0}$. equestris outnumbering \underline{C} . virginica from 3 to 1, to 10 to 1. The spawn of the horse oysters is believed to be past its peak, however, the majority of commercial oysters have not yet spawned. The horse oyster spat ranged from 2 to 25 mm and the commercial species from 3 to 52 mm.

Comments: Some general statements can be made regarding the work on these experimental reefs to date.

Reef #3 appears to be too close to the existing O. equestris populations of the Queen Isabella Causeway. While this reef was not seeded, the sets of barnacles and horse oysters appear to be too heavy to support significant numbers of the commercial oyster. Thais is also very heavy on this reef.

Reef #2 appears to show promise. Commercial sized oysters were obtained from this reef, not including the seed oysters which were planted. Reef #1 showed less promise but it might be that this reef might have done as well as #2 had it been seeded, too. Thais was present on both reefs but did not appear to be responsible for any significant damage. Reef #1 might be located at the northern extreme for oyster production, however, as shown by the inability of the spat to reach seed size. Not enough work was done on reef #5 to determine the degree of success or failure of this reef although it appears that this reef, too, might be at the northern limit.

The locations of reef #4 and 6 appear to be the best locations in the area. Reef #4 produced commercial oysters without the benefit of seed. Reef #6 showed good results prior to the mortality of all the seed oysters by the influx of fresh water in late fall of 1958. It would appear that this reef could produce as well as #4 providing seed was used to start it.

Prepared by _______ Joseph P. Breuer Accepted by _______ Howard T. Lee

Work will continue on these experimental oyster reefs for one more year in conjunction with an oyster survey of South Bay. All oyster work in this area

Marine Biologist I

